ISLAMOPHOBIA AND ANTI-IMMIGRANT SENTIMENTS IN EUROPE

Drivers, Implications for Social Cohesion and Policy Options for European Governments

Research Paper

Prepared in a public-policy research format

Abstract

Islamophobia and anti-immigrant sentiment have emerged as significant social and political challenges in contemporary Europe. Although immigration and Islamophobia are distinct phenomena, they increasingly overlap in public discourse because a substantial proportion of Europe's immigrant and refugee populations originate from Muslim-majority countries. Economic insecurity, irregular migration, concerns regarding cultural integration, terrorism, political polarization, misinformation and the rise of populist and far-right movements have contributed to increasingly contentious debates about immigration and Muslim communities.

Recent evidence demonstrates that these concerns are not merely political or rhetorical. The European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) reported in 2024 that almost half (47%) of Muslim respondents in 13 EU countries had experienced racial discrimination during the preceding five years, compared with 39% in 2016. Twenty-seven percent reported racist harassment over the same period. This paper argues that Islamophobia and anti-immigrant sentiment are driven by a combination of socioeconomic, political, security and cultural factors and are reinforced by media and digital communication. Effective policy therefore requires a balanced approach that addresses legitimate migration-management concerns while preventing discrimination, hate speech and collective stigmatization.

Keywords: Islamophobia; anti-immigrant sentiment; migration; Muslims in Europe; xenophobia; racism; integration; far-right politics; hate speech; public policy.

1. Introduction

Europe has historically been a continent of migration. The post-Second World War period witnessed substantial migration for reconstruction and industrial development, followed by family reunification, asylum movements and economic migration. In recent decades, conflicts in the Middle East and Africa, economic disparities and instability in neighbouring regions have further increased migration pressures.

Migration has consequently become one of the most politically sensitive issues in Europe. While immigration has contributed to economic activity, demographic renewal and cultural diversity, it has also generated political debates concerning border control, employment, housing, public services and national identity.

Within this debate, Muslims have frequently become associated with immigration even though European Muslims constitute a highly diverse population, including citizens born and raised in Europe. The FRA survey of almost 10,000 Muslims in 13 EU countries found substantial increases in reported discrimination compared with 2016. The issue therefore has two interconnected dimensions: anti-immigrant sentiment and Islamophobia/anti-Muslim racism. These phenomena can reinforce each other but should not automatically be treated as identical.

2. Statement of the Problem

Europe faces a difficult policy dilemma. Governments have a legitimate responsibility to manage immigration, secure borders, prevent irregular migration and protect public safety. Citizens also have legitimate interests in employment, housing, public services and social cohesion.

At the same time, migration policy becomes problematic when legitimate policy concerns are transformed into generalized hostility toward particular ethnic, religious or national groups. The problem becomes particularly serious when migrants are portrayed collectively as threats; Muslims are treated as inherently incompatible with European values; political rhetoric normalizes stereotypes; social media amplifies misinformation; hate speech develops into harassment or violence; and discrimination affects employment and housing.

The FRA reported that 47% of Muslim respondents surveyed experienced racial discrimination during the five years preceding the survey, while 35% experienced it during the preceding year. The comparable five-year figure in 2016 was 39%.

3. Research Objectives

1. To examine the nature and extent of Islamophobia and anti-immigrant sentiment in Europe.

2. To identify the major political, socioeconomic, cultural and security-related causes.

3. To analyse the role of political parties and media in shaping public perceptions.

4. To assess the implications for social cohesion, human rights and public security.

5. To examine existing European policy responses.

6. To recommend policy measures for reducing discrimination while maintaining effective migration governance.

4. Research Questions

1. What are the major drivers of Islamophobia and anti-immigrant sentiment in Europe?

2. How are Islamophobia and anti-immigrant sentiment interconnected?

3. What role do political narratives and social media play in reinforcing negative perceptions?

4. How does discrimination affect the socioeconomic integration of European Muslims?

5. What are the implications for European social cohesion and security?

6. What policy measures can European governments adopt to address the problem?

5. Methodology

The paper employs a qualitative policy-analysis approach supported by secondary quantitative evidence. Principal sources include the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), Council of Europe and European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI), European Union policy documents, OSCE/ODIHR material and relevant academic literature.

Particular reliance is placed on the FRA's Being Muslim in the EU survey, based on 9,604 Muslim respondents in 13 EU countries. The survey provides comparative evidence regarding discrimination, harassment, employment, housing, education and interactions with police.

6. Conceptual Framework

Islamophobia. Islamophobia generally refers to prejudice, hostility, discrimination or hatred directed against Muslims or people perceived as Muslim. It can involve religious prejudice, racialization, ethnic discrimination, cultural stereotyping and anti-immigrant prejudice.

Anti-Immigrant Sentiment. Anti-immigrant sentiment refers to negative attitudes toward immigrants, refugees or other foreign-born populations. It can range from concerns about immigration levels and border management to xenophobia, exclusion, hate speech and violence.

For public policy, the key distinction is between legitimate disagreement about immigration levels and discrimination against individuals because of nationality, ethnicity or religion.

7. Historical Evolution

During the economic expansion after the Second World War, several European countries recruited workers from abroad, particularly from Turkey, North Africa, South Asia and other regions. Initially migration was often viewed as temporary labour mobility. Over time, migrants established permanent communities through family reunification and settlement.

The second and third generations consequently became European citizens. This transformation generated a fundamental policy question: should migrants be viewed primarily as temporary economic workers or as permanent members of European societies? Debates over multiculturalism, assimilation and national identity have remained central to European integration policy.

8. Major Drivers of Islamophobia and Anti-Immigrant Sentiment

Economic insecurity. Unemployment, stagnant wages, housing shortages and rising living costs can make immigrants appear to be competitors for scarce resources. Economic anxiety can make populations more receptive to narratives blaming outsiders, even when migration is not the actual cause of local economic problems.

Irregular migration and refugee flows. Large movements of refugees and irregular migrants have placed pressure on asylum and border-management systems. The visibility of Mediterranean crossings and arrivals has made migration a central electoral issue. Effective border management, however, need not imply hostility toward all immigrants or refugees.

Terrorism and security concerns. Terrorist attacks committed by individuals claiming an Islamist justification have affected European perceptions of Islam. The policy danger arises when extremist organisations are treated as representative of an entire religion or Muslim population.

9. Political Populism and the Far Right

The growth of populist and far-right political movements has changed European political discourse on immigration. Immigration is often presented through narratives involving national identity, demographic change, loss of cultural control, border insecurity, welfare competition, crime and terrorism.

Such narratives can transform immigration from a policy question into an existential question concerning who belongs. The challenge for mainstream parties is to respond to legitimate voter concerns about migration without adopting rhetoric that stigmatizes entire communities.

10. Role of Media and Social Media

Media organizations have considerable influence over how migration and Muslims are represented. The problem is not necessarily that media discuss migration or Islam, but that coverage can disproportionately emphasize terrorism, crime, irregular migration and cultural conflict.

Social media can amplify this effect because emotionally provocative material often attracts attention. Online platforms enable rapid circulation of misinformation, manipulated videos, conspiracy theories, racist stereotypes and anti-Muslim propaganda. Digital literacy and responsible platform governance are therefore important components of public policy.

11. Discrimination in Employment and Housing

The FRA reported that 39% of Muslim respondents experienced racial discrimination while looking for work during the five years preceding its survey, compared with 31% in 2016. Housing is another significant area of concern.

Employment and housing discrimination can reduce household income, increase poverty, restrict social mobility, create residential segregation and weaken trust in public institutions. The problem is therefore not only a human-rights issue but also an economic-development and public-policy issue.

12. Discrimination Against Muslim Women

Muslim women who wear visible religious clothing can face particularly high levels of discrimination. The FRA found that 58% of Muslim women aged 16–24 who wore religious clothing reported discrimination while looking for work during the preceding five years, compared with 38% among women

of the same age who did not wear such clothing.

This demonstrates the intersection of gender, religion, ethnicity and migration background and suggests that anti-discrimination policies should recognize that different groups experience exclusion differently.

13. Police Profiling and Institutional Trust

Law-enforcement institutions are central to both national security and social cohesion. The FRA found that 49% of Muslims who had been stopped by police during the year preceding the survey believed the stop was connected to their immigrant or ethnic background, up from 42% in 2016.

Perceived discriminatory policing can reduce trust in police and willingness to cooperate with law enforcement. Non-discrimination is therefore not opposed to security policy; it can strengthen security outcomes.

14. Hate Speech and Hate Crime

The FRA reported that 27% of Muslim respondents experienced racist harassment during the five years preceding its survey, while 4% experienced a racist attack. The scale of the problem is difficult to compare across countries because national reporting and recording systems differ.

Improved and harmonized data collection is therefore essential. Under-reporting is also significant and means official statistics may underestimate the real scale of discrimination.

15. Impact of Middle Eastern Conflicts

International conflicts can intensify domestic tensions. The FRA has reported an increase in anti-Muslim incidents in the EU following the October 2023 Hamas attacks and the subsequent war in Gaza, while emphasizing differences among countries and recording systems.

This demonstrates the vulnerability of domestic social cohesion to international geopolitical developments and highlights the need for mechanisms capable of preventing overseas conflicts from becoming catalysts for communal hostility within European societies.

16. Implications for European Societies

Social cohesion: Persistent discrimination can create a sense of exclusion among minority communities and weaken the shared sense of citizenship.

Economic costs: Discrimination can prevent qualified individuals from obtaining appropriate employment, resulting in underutilization of human capital and lower productivity.

Democratic stability: Polarization over immigration can weaken political consensus and shift democratic debate from evidence-based policy toward identity and fear.

National security: Exclusion and alienation can undermine trust in government institutions, while successful prevention depends partly on cooperation between communities and state institutions.

17. European Policy Response

Europe has developed legal and institutional mechanisms to combat discrimination. EU anti-racism policy recognizes anti-Muslim hatred as a form of racism. The Council of Europe and ECRI have emphasized

stronger institutional responses to anti-Muslim racism and discrimination.

The FRA's anti-Muslim hatred database provides policymakers with information concerning hate crime, hate speech, discrimination, court decisions and human-rights findings. Nevertheless, implementation remains uneven between countries.

18. Policy Gaps

Under-reporting: Only 6% of Muslim respondents who experienced discrimination reported the incident or made a complaint during the year preceding the FRA survey.

Uneven data collection: European countries differ in how they define, record and report hate crime.

Political polarization: Migration policy is increasingly shaped by electoral pressures.

Weak digital governance: Online hate speech can spread faster than institutional responses.

Integration deficits: Integration policies sometimes focus heavily on migrants' obligations without sufficiently addressing structural barriers to employment, housing and education.

19. Policy Recommendations

1. Strengthen anti-discrimination enforcement. Ensure effective enforcement of existing legislation in employment, housing, education and public services.

2. Establish comparable hate-crime data. Develop more standardized systems for recording anti-Muslim hate crimes, hate speech, harassment and discrimination.

3. Improve reporting mechanisms. Establish multilingual online portals, confidential reporting and independent complaint mechanisms.

4. Promote responsible political communication. Distinguish legitimate criticism of migration policy from collective stigmatization of immigrants or Muslims.

5. Strengthen media responsibility. Encourage statistical context, avoid generalization from individual crimes and distinguish refugees, irregular migrants, Muslims and extremist organizations.

6. Regulate online hate while protecting free speech. Address illegal hate speech while protecting legitimate political and religious expression.

7. Improve integration policies. Prioritize language training, employment, education, vocational skills, housing and civic participation.

8. Strengthen community policing. Expand engagement with Muslim communities and training in unconscious bias, religious literacy and hate-crime identification.

9. Youth engagement. Promote intercultural dialogue, digital literacy, critical thinking and civic education.

10. Address root causes of anti-immigrant anxiety. Invest in affordable housing, local infrastructure, schools, healthcare, employment and municipal services.

20. Proposed Policy Framework

The recommended European approach can be organized around five mutually reinforcing pillars:

1. Security: Counterterrorism, hate-crime prevention and community policing.

2. Equality: Anti-discrimination enforcement and equal access to employment and housing.

3. Integration: Education, language, employment and civic participation.

4. Information: Media literacy, misinformation control and responsible political communication.

5. Governance: Better data, institutional coordination and policy evaluation.

The central principle should be: **Secure borders, effective migration management and protection of minority rights are complementary—not competing—objectives.**

21. Conclusion

Islamophobia and anti-immigrant sentiment represent complex and interconnected challenges for contemporary Europe. They are driven by a combination of migration pressures, economic insecurity, terrorism concerns, cultural anxieties, political polarization and digital misinformation.

European governments have legitimate responsibilities concerning border control, asylum management, public services and national security. The policy challenge is to address these concerns without converting them into discrimination against entire populations.

The evidence nevertheless demonstrates that anti-Muslim discrimination is a substantial problem. The FRA survey found a marked increase in racial discrimination experienced by Muslims compared with 2016, while its anti-Muslim hatred database documents a broad range of incidents and institutional responses across Europe.

The most effective response is neither unrestricted immigration nor indiscriminate exclusion. It is evidence-based migration governance combined with equal citizenship, effective integration, strong law enforcement against hate crime, responsible political communication and protection of fundamental rights.

References

European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA). (2024). Being Muslim in the EU – Experiences of Muslims.

European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA). (2024). Muslims in Europe face ever more racism and discrimination.

European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA). (2025). Anti-Muslim Hatred Database, 2012–2024.

European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI), Council of Europe. (2025). Coordination meeting on combating anti-Muslim hatred and racism.

Council of Europe. (2025). Combatting anti-Muslim hatred and racism: Strong international response needed.

OSCE Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR). Anti-Muslim Hate Crime Data.

European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights. FRA Single Programming Document 2025–2027.

National School of Public Policy. Journal of Pakistan Administration: Author Guidelines and Research Scope.